

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,655	11/24/2003	Vladimir Jovancicevic	194-30871-US	4645	
24923 75	90 10/04/2006		EXAMINER		
PAUL S MAD	DAN	MCAVOY, ELLEN M			
MADAN, MOS	SSMAN & SRIRAM, PC				
2603 AUGUST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
HOUSTON, T	X 77057-1130	1764	1764		
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					( )		
Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/720,655	5	JOVANCICEVIC ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Ellen M. Mo	•	1764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Fail Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no ever will apply and will c, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION of, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a)[_	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowar	•	•		merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 <sub>.</sub> O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			·			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 05 April 2004 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from con r election re er. l⊠ accepted drawing(s) be	quirement. d or b)⊡ objected to e held in abeyance. Sec	by the Examiner. a 37 CFR 1.85(a).	R 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/03; 5/27/04; 7/30/04.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/720,655 Page 2

Art Unit: 1764

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Subramanian et al (6,248,699).

Subramanian et al ["Subramanian"] disclose improved hydrocarbon gels useful in oil field applications including slurry pipeline transport. Subramanian discloses a gelled hydrocarbon fluid useful as a fracturing fluid in subterranean formations comprising at least one gelling agent which is a salt of a carboxylic acid having about 6 to about 30 carbon atoms. Subramanian teaches that the gelling agents may be prepared by heating the carboxylic acid with a multivalent metal compound. Preferably a ratio of about two or three carboxylic acid equivalents to one metal is formed as represented by the formula:

$$(CH_3 - (CH_2)_v - COO)_n X$$

wherein y is 6 to 28, n is 2 or 3 and X is a multivalent metal such as aluminum. See column 3, lines 15-30. Subramanian teaches that the gelling agents may be added directly to hydrocarbon liquids or to a mixture of hydrocarbon liquids. Suitable hydrocarbon liquids used in the fracturing process of the prior art include diesel fuel, crude oil, Fracsolve® fracturing liquid, toluene, xylene, hexane, or other hydrocarbon solvents. Subramanian teaches that the gelling agents may be added to the hydrocarbon liquids in amounts of less than about 20%, preferably

Art Unit: 1764

1

less than about 10% and most preferably less than about 5% by weight of the mixture. See column 5, lines 20-28. The examiner is of the position that Subramanian meets the limitations of the drag reducing composition of the claims which include an aluminum monocarboxylate or aluminum dicarboxylate, in combination with a hydrocarbon solvent. The examiner is of the position that method of claims 1, 14, 15 and 16 which comprise the step of adding to the hydrocarbon fluid an aluminum (di)carboxylate is clearly taught by the prior art. It has been held that a recitation of the intended use such as "reducing drag of a fluid" carries no weight in the claims since the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. And that if the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

## **Conclusion**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen M. McAvoy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1451. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ellen M McAvoy

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

EMcAvoy September 30, 2006